

# *Biodiversity and biomass of a natural and degraded mangrove forest of Peninsular Malaysia*

**Hemati Zhila, Hossain Mahmood & M. Z. Rozainah**

**Environmental Earth Sciences**

ISSN 1866-6280

Volume 71

Number 11

Environ Earth Sci (2014) 71:4629–4635

DOI 10.1007/s12665-013-2853-6



**Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at [link.springer.com](http://link.springer.com)".**

# Biodiversity and biomass of a natural and degraded mangrove forest of Peninsular Malaysia

Hemati Zhila · Hossain Mahmood ·  
M. Z. Rozainah

Received: 19 May 2013 / Accepted: 5 October 2013 / Published online: 15 October 2013  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

**Abstract** Anthropogenic activities have always been the cause of most environmental degradation, and mangrove disappearance is no exception. A comparative assessment on the biodiversity of natural and degraded mangrove forests has been undertaken, looking at the biomass, both above-ground and below-ground. The natural and the degraded mangrove forests were situated at Kuala Selangor and Sungai Haji Dorani, respectively, both on the West coast of Peninsular Malaysia. A random sample scheme with quadrat sample plots (10 m × 10 m) was adopted for the measurement of the diameter at breast height and total height of individual tree species at both forests. Diversity indices and above- and below-ground biomass were estimated from this inventory. Eight mangrove tree species were identified at both study areas, namely: *Bruguiera parviflora*, *Avicennia officinalis*, *Rhizophora mucronata*, *Sonneratia alba*, *Avicennia marina*, *Bruguiera cylindrica*, *Xylocarpus mekongensis* and *Excoecaria agallocha*. The mangrove species in Sungai Haji Dorani showed high diversity with a Shannon–Weiner Index ( $H'$ ) value of 0.91, compared to the natural mangrove of Kuala Selangor which has a lower value,  $H' = 0.55$ . The

dominant species in the natural mangrove area was *B. parviflora*, with the highest Important Value Index (IVI) of 70.96 %, as opposed to *A. marina* which was the most common species in the degraded mangrove area, with IVI of 49.16 %. An estimate of 305.46 t/ha of above-ground biomass was calculated for the natural mangrove, while 122.78 t/ha was obtained for the degraded mangrove forest. This contrasts with the below-ground biomass estimates, which were 14.09 t/ha for the natural mangrove and 36.35 t/ha for the degraded mangrove.

**Keywords** Biodiversity · Mangroves · Biomass · Structure · Allometry · *Avicennia* sp · *Bruguiera* sp

## Introduction

Mangroves are woody plants that grow at the interface between land and sea on tropical and sub-tropical sheltered coasts. Therefore, mangrove trees grow in soil that is more or less permanently water logged (Peter 1999). A wide diversity of aquatic and terrestrial species of different taxonomic groups resides in mangrove ecosystems (Dinerstein et al. 1995). Mangroves provide timber, firewood and charcoal, fishing poles, pulp and tannin (Hamilton and Snedaker 1984; Nagelkerken et al. 2008; Walters et al. 2008). Mangroves reduce coastal erosion, flooding and run-off, and provide nutrients (Lugo and Snedekar 1974; Mahmood et al. 2003). They also play an important role in carbon fixation and stocking, control of the quality and quantity of water, and the flux of organic particles to the aquatic ecosystem (Dinerstein et al. 1995). Mangroves are important to estuarine fisheries because of their contribution of detritus and dissolved organic carbon within the food webs, and their roots provide shelter for juvenile

H. Zhila (✉) · M. Z. Rozainah  
Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science,  
University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia  
e-mail: Zhemt8@gmail.com

H. Mahmood  
Forestry and Wood Technology Discipline, Khulna University,  
Khulna 9208, Bangladesh

M. Z. Rozainah  
Institute of Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Malaya,  
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

fish (Mahmood et al. 2005; Mumby et al. 2004; Husch et al. 2003; Machiwa and Hallberg 2002; Alongi et al. 2000).

However, mangroves are disappearing from all over the world at an alarming rate. Estimates indicate that the mangrove area worldwide fell below 15 million ha by 2000, down from 19.8 million ha in 1980. The world has thus lost about 5 million ha of mangroves over that 20-year period, or 25 % of the extent found in 1980. Estimates also indicate that mangrove deforestation continued, on a slightly lower rate in the 1990s (1.1 % per annum) than in the 1980s (1.9 % per annum) (FAO 2003). About 90 % of global mangroves are growing in developing countries, and they are critically endangered and nearing extinction in 26 countries. Malaysia contributes approximately 12 % of Southeast Asia's mangrove area, along the coasts of Sabah (57 %), Sarawak (26 %) and Peninsular Malaysia (17 %). However, about 1 % of the mangrove area in Peninsular Malaysia is being lost each year since 1990 due to conversion to aquaculture, agriculture, deforestation and urban land uses (FAO 2003; Ong 1982). With the intention that such conversions enhance economic empowerment, enable infrastructural development and create conducive leisure/recreational environment as desired by present day lifestyle, little is known on the magnitude of what is lost. Therefore, understanding the importance of mangrove to the coastal ecosystem is very important. Though a number of studies have documented forest diversity, structure and biomass, yet little has been related to its role in carbon pool as it pertains to the productivity of mangrove trees. Moreover, a comparative scenario of natural and degraded mangrove is expected to correct the ignorance/negligence on mangrove management, wherein some changes go on within mangrove eco-diversity without clear detection. Mangroves within forest ecosystems in Malaysia are vulnerable to climatic changes; however, it serves as a veritable study area on above-ground and below-ground biomass research across diversity of tree species. In view of that, the present study aimed to evaluate the associated above- and below-ground biomass of the mangrove tree species within the natural and degraded forest of Kuala Selangor and Sungai Haji Dorani, respectively. At the same time, the diversity and similarity of tree species in both areas, with their contrasting degradation status, were also investigated. The generated data will be very beneficial to Malaysian forest management and conservation strategies.

## Materials and methods

### Study area

Both study areas, Kuala Selangor (03°20'N and 101°14'E) and Sungai Haji Dorani (03°40'N and 100°58'E) are

situated in Selangor state, on the West coast of Peninsular Malaysia, bordering the Straits of Malacca (Fig. 1). These areas are under the influence of the northeast monsoon and southwest monsoon, with mean annual temperature of 27.3–27.7 °C. The relative humidity average is 77 % and the mean annual rainfall in the study areas is about 1,701–1,710 mm, where the mean monthly rainfall is 142–164 mm (Department of Meteorology 2012). Kuala Selangor's mangrove forest is known as a healthy natural mangrove while the mangrove in Sungai Haji Dorani is identified as a degrading type (Roslan 2006). The mangrove of Kuala Selangor is fully inundated only during the spring high tides, while the mangrove of Sungai Haji Dorani is a macro tidal beach with a semi-diurnal tidal regime. The soil texture for both study areas is clayey.

Kuala Selangor is a very healthy vast mangrove forest and is currently being managed by the Malaysian Nature Society (MNS), an NGO which was given a task to manage this protected forest. On the other hand, Sungai Haji Dorani is a degrading mangrove forest experiencing a gradual thinning process due to anthropogenic activities and coastal erosion.

### Sampling and data collection

A total of eighteen sample plots (10 m × 10 m) were randomly established in both sites from three predetermined transects across the coastline in January 2012. All trees within the plots were identified up to species level and tagged. Diameter at breast height (DBH) of trees inside the plots was measured, while trees with DBH <4 cm were not recorded as they are considered as saplings. Height of trees was also measured and recorded, using a pole.

### Vegetation structure

The structural characteristics of the trees, including density, relative density, frequency and relative frequency, mean DBH, mean height, basal area, relative dominance and importance value index of trees were calculated using the relationship given by Cintron and Novelli (1984).

### Species diversity

A number of different measures of species diversity have been calculated in this study. This exercise explored three methods for species diversity indices and similarity (Shannon–Weiner Index, Simpson's Index and Sorenson's Similarity Index).

#### Shannon–Weiner Index

Species diversity is described according to the value of Shannon–Weiner Index ( $H'$ ) based on the relative



**Fig. 1** Locations of study areas

abundance (proportion) of the  $i$ th species in the community, and natural log (ln).  $H'$  is calculated using the following formula by Mac Arthur (1969):

$$H' = - \sum p_i \ln p_i.$$

The Shannon Index is used to measure habitat quality. Its range is from 0.0 to approximately 4.6. A value of 0.0 means that every organism in the sample is of the same species and 4.6 means the number of individuals are evenly distributed among numerous species.

#### *Simpson's Index ( $D_s$ )*

A measure that accounts for both richness and proportion (%) of each species is the Simpson's diversity Index. It has been a useful tool for understanding the profile of biodiversity across the zones. If a community with high diversity was randomly sampled twice, there is a good chance that the two samples will contain different species. However, if a low-diversity community was sampled twice, it is likely that both of the samples will be the same species (Simpson 1949). The study derived a formula based on the expected outcome of two random samples and had been adopted in the present study;

$$D_s = \frac{N(N-1)}{\sum n_i(n_i-1)}$$

where  $N$  the total number of individuals of all species,  $n_i$  the number of individuals of species  $i$ .

#### *Sorenson's similarity Index ( $S$ )*

The Sorenson's similarity Index is used to indicate that the vegetation species found in the plot's analysis are similar to those vegetation species found in other plots. The range of Sorenson's similarity Index is from 0 to 1. A value of 0 indicates a complete dissimilarity while a value of 1 indicates a complete similarity (Goldsmith et al. 1986). The similarity Index is calculated by following formula:

$$S = \frac{2J}{A+B}$$

where  $J$  total species which is similar in both samples,  $A$  total species in sample A,  $B$  total species in sample B.

#### *Above-ground biomass*

A non-destructive method to estimate the above-ground and below-ground biomass was adopted in this study. DBH

and height of each tree was used to estimate the above-ground biomass of trees of the study sites by using different allometric equations derived by Clough and Scott (1989), Komiyama et al. (2000, 2005), Soares and Schaeffer-Novelli (2005), and Ong et al. (2004). Allometric equations describe the relationships for estimating leaf, branch, stem, and total above-ground biomass of species. The formula for the estimation of biomass is as follows:

$$\text{Log Biomass} = A + B \log_{10} \text{DBH}$$

where A and B are constants in the equation for log Biomass.

#### Below-ground biomass

The pitch method was adopted for the below-ground biomass estimated (Refaat et al. 2012). Therefore, three pitches ( $1 \times 1 \times 1$  m) were dug 1 m away from any tree within the study area. All soil of each pitch was collected with roots, and the roots were sorted and washed. Roots were sorted into two groups, fine and coarse, depending on their diameter. The total fresh weight of each root category was measured in the field, and representative subsamples were taken to the laboratory where they were oven dried to constant weight at 80 °C. The total oven-dry weight of roots was calculated from the ratio of fresh to oven-dry weight.

## Results

#### Vegetation structure

A total of 302 individual trees were recorded from the sample plots of Kuala Selangor and 402 individuals at Sungai Haji Dorani. A total of 8 species from four families has been reported from the two study sites.

*Sonneratia alba* possessed the highest DBH value in the Kuala Selangor; however, *Excoecaria agallocha* was only found in Sungai Haji Dorani and appears as biggest tree with average  $11.90 \pm 1.58$  cm. However, the sparse distribution of *S. alba* (6 tree/ha) shifts importance towards *Bruguiera parviflora* which has about 84 % (1,406 tree/ha) of the total trees density in Kuala Selangor. Both *Bruguiera* species found on both study sites were taller than others in their discrete localities; with mean height value of *B. parviflora* at  $12.56 \pm 0.47$  m in Kuala Selangor while *B. cylindrica* recorded  $6.89 \pm 0.12$  m in Sungai Haji Dorani. *B. parviflora* possessed the highest IVI (70.96 %) as against 0.85 % shown by *S. alba*. However, the only similarity between both study areas in terms of tree diversity is the presence of *S. alba* (Table 1).

#### Species diversity

From the comparison of diversity between the two study areas, the Shannon–Weiner Index and Simpson Index result showed that the diversity Index was higher at Sungai Haji Dorani with value of 0.91 ( $H'$ ) and 2.22 ( $D_s$ ), respectively, than at Kuala Selangor with the value of 0.55 ( $H'$ ) and 1.40 ( $D_s$ ), respectively (Table 2). The Shannon–Weiner Index was 1.65 times greater ( $0.91/0.55 = 1.65$ ) at the Sungai Haji Dorani compared to Kuala Selangor. Also, based on Sorenson's similarity Index result, the similarity of species in both areas was very low with a value of 0.22 (value from 0 to 1). This is because only one species, *S. alba*, was found at both study areas out of the total eight species (Table 2).

#### Biomass

Above-ground biomass of *Avicennia officinalis* and *B. parviflora* were 37.22 and 266.74 t/ha, respectively, and 305.46 t/ha of total above-ground biomass was recorded for Kuala Selangor. On the other hand, the total above-

**Table 1** Stand structure of the study sites

| Species               | Mean DBH (cm) $\pm$ SE |                  | Mean Height (m) $\pm$ SE |                 | Density (trees/ha) |       | IVI (%) |       |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|-------|
|                       | KSNP                   | SHD              | KSNP                     | SHD             | KSNP               | SHD   | KSNP    | SHD   |
| <i>A. officinalis</i> | $13.58 \pm 1.25$       | –                | $10.45 \pm 1.11$         | –               | 200                | –     | 23.20   | –     |
| <i>A. marina</i>      | –                      | $7.90 \pm 0.25$  | –                        | $5.64 \pm 0.25$ | –                  | 1,150 | –       | 46.16 |
| <i>B. parviflora</i>  | $10.25 \pm 0.27$       | –                | $12.56 \pm 0.47$         | –               | 1,406              | –     | 70.96   | –     |
| <i>B. cylindrica</i>  | –                      | $7.67 \pm 0.24$  | –                        | $6.89 \pm 0.12$ | –                  | 955.5 | –       | 40.59 |
| <i>R. mucronata</i>   | $7.31 \pm 1.36$        | –                | $8.07 \pm 1.07$          | –               | 67                 | –     | 4.99    | –     |
| <i>S. alba</i>        | $22 \pm 0$             | $6.50 \pm 0$     | $4.21 \pm 0$             | $4.21 \pm 0$    | 6                  | 5.5   | 0.85    | 1.03  |
| <i>E. agallocha</i>   | –                      | $11.90 \pm 1.58$ | –                        | $6.01 \pm 0.58$ | –                  | 72.2  | –       | 3.60  |
| <i>X. mekongensis</i> | –                      | $6.68 \pm 0.3$   | –                        | $6.52 \pm 0.39$ | –                  | 44.4  | –       | 5.62  |

KSNP Kuala Selangor Nature Park, SHD Sungai Haji Dorani

– Species not present in the study areas

**Table 2** Biodiversity Index of the study areas

| Species               | No. of individuals | H'   | Ds   | S    |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------|------|------|
| Kuala Selangor        |                    | 0.55 | 1.40 | 0.22 |
| <i>A. officinalis</i> | 36                 |      |      |      |
| <i>B. parviflora</i>  | 253                |      |      |      |
| <i>R. mucronata</i>   | 12                 |      |      |      |
| <i>S. alba</i>        | 1                  |      |      |      |
| Sungai Haji Dorani    |                    | 0.91 | 2.22 |      |
| <i>A. marina</i>      | 207                |      |      |      |
| <i>B. cylindrica</i>  | 172                |      |      |      |
| <i>E. agallocha</i>   | 13                 |      |      |      |
| <i>X. mekongensis</i> | 8                  |      |      |      |
| <i>S. alba</i>        | 1                  |      |      |      |

H' Shannon–Weiner Index, Ds Simpson Index, S Sorenson's Index

**Table 3** Above-ground biomass of mangroves tree in both study area

| Species               | Above-ground biomass (t/ha) |        |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
|                       | KSNP                        | SHD    |
| <i>A. officinalis</i> | 37.22                       | –      |
| <i>B. parviflora</i>  | 266.74                      | –      |
| <i>R. mucronata</i>   | 1.07                        | –      |
| <i>S. alba</i>        | 0.43                        | 0.02   |
| <i>A. marina</i>      | –                           | 108.63 |
| <i>B. cylindrica</i>  | –                           | 12.95  |
| <i>E. agallocha</i>   | –                           | 0.92   |
| <i>X. mekongensis</i> | –                           | 0.25   |
| Total                 | 305.46                      | 122.78 |

– Species not present in the study areas

ground biomass was 122.78 t/ha for Sungai Haji Dorani. *A. marina* and *B. cylindrical* contributed the major portion of this biomass (Table 3).

The above-ground biomass of *B. parviflora* was found to be 61.62, 30.01 and 8.37 % for the stem, branch and leaf, respectively, in the Kuala Selangor mangrove forest, while the percentage of above-ground biomass of *Avicennia marina* in Sungai Haji Dorani was found to be 49.66, 43.79 and 6.55 % for the stem, branch and leaf, respectively (Table 4).

Below-ground biomass showed a variation between the two study areas. A comparatively higher amount of root biomass (12.12 t/ha) was observed for Sungai Haji Dorani, while 4.60 t/ha of root biomass was estimated for Kuala Selangor (Table 5).

## Discussion

The degraded study site of Sungai Haji Dorani is higher in species diversity compared to Kuala Selangor. This may be

**Table 4** Percentage of above-ground biomass in component of tree

| Component | Above-ground biomass (%) |                  |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|
|           | <i>B. parviflora</i>     | <i>A. marina</i> |
| Leaf      | 8.37                     | 6.55             |
| Branch    | 30.01                    | 43.79            |
| Stem      | 61.62                    | 49.66            |

**Table 5** Below-ground biomass of mangrove trees in both study areas

| Component   | Below-ground biomass (t/ha) |             |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|             | Mean ± SE                   |             |
|             | KSNP                        | SHD         |
| Coarse root | 3.44 ± 0.34                 | 7.61 ± 1.80 |
| Fine root   | 1.26 ± 0.14                 | 4.51 ± 1.36 |

due to the degradation of the mangrove forest through agricultural land clearing or tidal movement of water into the inner parts of the mangrove, which allows for the mixing or inter-woven nature of the trees breeding, hence increasing the density. These are the major differences across the sites over the monitored parameters which might be due to the environmental conditions and even standing age (Ong 1982).

*Avicennia marina* is the most important and dominant species with the highest Important Value Index (IVI) value in Sungai Haji Dorani. This agrees with Clough et al. (1997) that, unlike other species, *A. marina* can still thrive in windy situations and some other environmental conditions like high salinity and high temperature areas. On the other hand, Rhizophoraceae was the largest family in the Kuala Selangor, with two species, consistent with its widespread distribution worldwide; and this family is also very adaptable in extreme mangrove environments (Tomlinson 1986). *B. parviflora* with high IVI is dominant in the area of Kuala Selangor. This finding agrees with Mahmood et al. (2003). The dominance exhibited by *B. parviflora* in Kuala Selangor is based on the fact that it thrives more within mangrove areas located by river banks (Tomlinson 1986; Giesen et al. 2007). A high salinity level may not be easily tolerated by *B. parviflora*, unlike *A. marina* which is well known to grow and survive in extreme saline condition (Bagust and Tout-Smith 2005).

The results have shown that Kuala Selangor, being a natural mangrove, possesses not only larger but also taller mangrove trees when compared to Sungai Haji Dorani. Therefore, while the population density of trees is higher in Sungai Haji Dorani, individual tree size measured in terms of height and DBH is higher in Kuala Selangor mangrove area.

Although the density in Sungai Haji Dorani is higher, the value of the estimated above-ground biomass was lower in comparison to the natural mangrove in Kuala Selangor. The variation in above-ground biomass may be related to different local climatic conditions such as temperature, solar radiation, rain, and frequency of storms. Environmental factors such as soil properties and nutrient status may also affect the growth rate in mangrove biomass (Komiya et al. 2008). Specifically, it is expected that species from degraded mangrove (Sungai Haji Dorani) show less above-ground biomass because of environmental interference that leads to much smaller size of trees. This is typical of mangrove forests where immature mangrove vegetation is exploited or experiences over-logging (Tomlinson 1986) which is the case at Sungai Haji Dorani. Publications on *A. marina* are very limited when compared to other mangrove tree species, as regards biomass estimation. Reasons for this, point towards the fact that *A. marina* shows lower biomass than other tree species within the mangrove ecosystem. Similarly, its irregular features and the multi-stemmed nature give less recognition (Onget et al. 2004; Sherman et al. 2003). With the comparison of the biomass production in different parts of trees from both study areas, the result suggests that production of stem was higher than branch and leaf production. This agrees with Chandra et al. (2011) on higher biomass production of stems.

Surprisingly, the estimated value of below-ground biomass in Sungai Haji Dorani was higher compared to Kuala Selangor. Surveys of the mangroves in both study sites reveal that the density of root biomass at the top 10 cm is high, because some factors such as resilience, salinity, and softness might have played significant role in enhancing the degree of fine root biomass available within the top 10 cm profile (Briggs 1977; Komiya et al. 2008). These findings concur with Lauff (1967) and Tamoo et al. (2008) that affirmed the concentration of *A. marina* roots at the top 30 cm below the ground level. According to Stafford-Deitsch (1996), anoxic environment might be responsible for the high root biomass in the upper profile because it halts root growth into deeper soil profiles. With many roots in the top profile, active transport of water and minerals are enhanced by the quality of roots in the top profile, and are characterized of accumulated organic matter and terrestrial forests nutrients (Claus and George 2005).

## Conclusion

There is a gap in species diversity between Kuala Selangor and Sungai Haji Dorani, with the latter being higher. The relatively higher species diversity in Sungai Haji Dorani

can be attributed to its degraded mangrove forest nature; hence, the study concluded that species diversity in natural mangrove forest (Kuala Selangor) is less than is obtained in degraded mangrove forest (Sungai Haji Dorani). While *B. parviflora* is the dominant species in Sungai Haji Dorani, *A. marina* dominated Kuala Selangor.

The study discovered that above-ground biomass was higher in a natural mangrove forest compared to degraded mangrove, but the opposite for below-ground biomass. The accuracy of the biomass estimation, its proportion value and the variation in biomass found for mangrove forest area of Kuala Selangor and Sungai Haji Dorani may be related to the ecology, species, density, season, tree age and global positioning of mangrove forests.

**Acknowledgments** The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support by University of Malaya (UMRG Research Grant RG 136/11SUS), Malaysia. The Malaysian Nature Society (MNS) is also appreciated for their cooperation in assessing the Kuala Selangor Nature Park.

## References

- Alongi DM, Tirendi F, Clough BF (2000) Below-ground decomposition of organic matter in forests of the mangroves *Rhizophora stylosa* and *Avicennia marina* along the arid coast of Western Australia. *Aquat Bot* 68:97–122
- Bagust P, Tout-Smith L (2005) The native plants of Adelaide. Department for Environment and Heritage, p 100, ISBN 0-646-44313-5
- Briggs SV (1977) Estimates of biomass in a temperate mangrove community. *Aus J Ecol* 2:369–373
- Chandra IA, Seca G, Abu Hena MK (2011) Above-ground biomass production of *Rhizophora apiculata* Blume in Sarawak mangrove forest. *Am J Agric Biol Sci* 6(4):469–474
- Cintron G, Novelli SY (1984) Methods for studying mangrove structure. In: Snedaker CS, Snedaker JG (eds) The mangrove ecosystem research methods. Unesco, United Kingdom, pp 91–113
- Claus A, George E (2005) Effect of stand age in fine- root biomass and biomass distribution in three European forests chronosequence. *Can J For Res* 35:1617–1625
- Clough BF, Scott K (1989) Allometric relationships for estimating above-ground biomass in six mangrove species. *For Ecol Manag* 27:117–127
- Clough BF, Dixon P, Dahdouh O (1997) Allometric relationships for estimating biomass in multi-stemmed mangrove trees. *Aust J Bot* 45:1023–1031
- Department of Meteorology (2012) Annual report of the meteorology department. Peninsular Malaysia, Malaysia
- Dinerstein E, Olson DM, Graham DJ, Webster AL, Primm SA, Bookbinder MP, Ledec GA (1995) Conservation assessment of the terrestrial ecoregions of Latin America and the Caribbean. World Wildlife Fund and World Bank, Washington, DC
- FAO (2003) FAO's global estimate of mangroves. <http://www.fao.org>. Accessed 17 June 2003
- Giesen W, Wulffraat S, Zieren M, Scholten L (2007) Mangrove guidebook for Southeast Asia. Food and Agricultural Organisation & Wetlands International, Thailand
- Goldsmith FB, Harrison CM, Marton AJ (1986) Description and the analysis of vegetation. In: Moore PD, Chapman SB (eds)

- Methods in plant ecology. Blackwell scientific publication, London, pp 437–527
- Hamilton LS, Snedaker SC (1984) Handbook for mangrove area management. IUCN/UNESCO/UNEP, East-West Center, Honolulu
- Husch B, Beers TW, Kershaw JA (2003) Forest mensuration. Wiley, New Jersey
- Komiyama A, Havanond S, Srisawatt W, Mochida Y, Fujimoto K, Ohnishi T, Ishihara S, Miyagi T (2000) Top/root biomass ratio of a secondary mangrove forest (*Ceriops tagal* (Perr.) C. B. Rob.). *Fore Ecol Manag* 139:127–134
- Komiyama A, Pongpam S, Kato S (2005) Common allometric equations for estimating the tree weight of mangroves. *J Trop Ecol* 21:471–477
- Komiyama A, Ong JE, Pongpam S (2008) Allometry, biomass, and productivity of mangrove forests: a review. *Aquat Bot* 89:128–137
- Lauff G (1967) Estuaries American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC
- Lugo AE, Snedekar SC (1974) The ecology of mangrove. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 5:36–64
- Mac Arthur RH (1969) Patterns of species diversity. *Biol Rev* 40:510–533
- Machiwa JF, Hallberg RO (2002) An empirical model of the fate of organic carbon in a mangrove forest partly affected by anthropogenic activity. *Ecol Model* 147:69–83
- Mahmood H, Japar Sidik B, Saberi O, Misri K (2003) *Bru-guieraparviflora* leaf litter loss at Kuala Selangor mangrove forest, Malaysia. In: Japar Sidik B, Aziz A, Muta Harah Z, Kawamura A (eds) Aquatic resource and environmental studies of the straits of Malacca. MASDEC, JICA, Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, UPM, Malaysia, pp 153–164
- Mahmood H, Saberi O, Japar Sidik B, Misri K (2005) Litter flux in Kuala Selangor nature park mangrove forest, Malaysia. *Indian J For* 28(3):233–238
- Mumby PJ, Edwards AJ, Arias-Gonzalez JE, Lindeman KC, Blackwell PG, Gall A, Groczynska MI, Harborne AR, Penken H, Wabnitz CCC, Llewellyn G (2004) Mangroves enhance the biomass of coral reef fish communities in the Caribbean. *Nature* 427:533–536
- Nagelkerken I, Blaber SJM, Bouillon S, Green P, Haywood M, Kirton LG, Meynecke JO, Pawlik J, Penrose HM, Sasekumar A, Somerfield PJ (2008) The habitat function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: a review. *Aquat Bot* 89:155–185
- Ong JE (1982) Mangrove and mariculture. *Ambio* 11:252–257
- Ong JE, Gong WK, Wong CH (2004) Allometry and partitioning of themangrove, *Rhizophora apiculata*. *For Ecol Manag* 188:395–408
- Peter JH (1999) The biology of mangroves, biology of habitat. Oxford University Press Inc, London
- Refaat AAA, Clement AO, Jacob GA, James MK, Morag MM (2012) Perennial biomass production in arid mangrove system on the Red Sea coast of Saudi Arabia. *Environ Res* 6(1):22–31
- Roslan BH (2006) L-Block revetment: erosion control and sand trap system workshop on marine natural history of Tioman Marine Park group of islands, Tioman, 17–20 Sep 2006, Marine Park, Malaysia—UMMREC, (national)
- Sherman RE, Fahey TJ, Martinez P (2003) Spatial patterns of biomass and above-ground net primary productivity in a mangrove ecosystem in the Dominican Republic. *Ecosystems* 6:384–398
- Simpson EH (1949) Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163:688
- Soares MLG, Schaeffer-Novelli Y (2005) Above-ground biomass of mangrove species. I. Analysis of models. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 65:1–18
- Stafford-Deitsch J (1996) Mangrove: the forgotten habitat. Immel Publishing Limited, London, p 277
- Tamooch F, Huxham M, Karachi M, Mencuccini M, Kairo JG, Kirui B (2008) Below- ground root yield and distribution in natural and replanted mangrove forest at Gazi bay, Kenya. *For Ecol Manag* 256:1290–1297
- Tomlinson PB (1986) The botany of mangrove. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 419
- Walters BB, Ronnback P, Kovacs JM, Crona B, Hussain SA, Badola R, Primavera JH, Barbier E, Dahdouh-Guebas F (2008) Ethnobiology, socio-economics and management of mangrove forests: a review. *Aquat Bot* 89:220–236